

	सीमाशुल्क प्रधान आयुक्त का कार्यालय (एनएस-1) OFFICE OF THE PR. COMMISSIONER OF CUSTOMS (NS - I), मूल्यनिरूपण मुख्य (आयात)/ APPRAISING MAIN (IMPORT), जवाहरलाल नेहरू सीमाशुल्क भवन, न्हावाशेवा, ता. उरण, JAWAHAR LAL NEHRU CUSTOM HOUSE, NHAVA- SHEVA, TAL- URAN, जिला-रायगड/ RAIGAD-400707, महाराष्ट्र/ MAHARASHTRA (e-mail: appraisingmain.jnch@gov.in) (Telephone No.022-27244979)
---	---

दिनांक /Date: -

दिनांक **25.05.2026** को सम्पन्न 'स्थायी व्यापार सुविधा समिति' (आयात) की बैठक का कार्यवृत्त

**MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE PERMANENT TRADE
FACILITATION COMMITTEE (IMPORT) March 2026 HELD ON
25.05.2026**

The PTFC meeting held in hybrid mode on 25.05.2026 was chaired by Shri Yashodhan Wanage, Pr. Commissioner of Customs (NS-I), and attended by Shri Ravneet Khurana, Commissioner of Customs (NS- III), Mrs Sumidaa Devi, Commissioner of Customs (NS-I) and Shri Anil Ramteke, Commissioner of Customs (NS-V).

2. The meeting was attended by the following members/participants of the trade:

क्र.सं./Sr No.	नाम (सर्वश्री/सुश्री/श्रीमती) Names (Shri/Ms./Mrs.)	(संगठन/संघ/ पदनाम) Organization/Association
1	Santosh Shetty	Polaris/Conex
2	Capt Kavish Anand	Polaris CFS
3	Hiren Ruparel	BCBA
4	Manish Kumar	CSLA/MANSA
5	Dnyandeo Nakhawa	Conex CFS
6	Paresh Shah	WISA
8	Umesh Grover	CFSAI
10	Sanjay Shingote	BCBA
11	Maruti Gadge	BCBA
13	Mark Fernandes	Sylvester and Co.
14	Harsh Lapasia	BCBA
15	Nimish Desai	WISA

3. विभाग की ओर से निम्नलिखित अधिकारियों ने बैठक में भाग लिया: -

Following Officers from the department attended the meeting: –

क्रमसं./ Sr.No.	नाम (सर्वश्री/सुश्री/श्रीमती) Names(Shri/Ms./Mrs.)	पदनाम Designation
1.	K. Mahipal Chandra	Additional Commissioner of Customs
2.	Arvind Ghuge	Additional Commissioner of Customs
3.	Dr. Parul Singhal	Joint Commissioner of Customs
4.	Jay G Waghmare	Joint Commissioner of Customs

4. सहयोगी सरकारी एजेंसि (PGAs) से निम्नलिखित अधिकारियों ने बैठक में भाग लिया: -

Following Officers from the PGAs attended the meeting

क्रमसं./ Sr.No.	नाम (सर्वश्री/सुश्री/श्रीमती) Names(Shri/Ms./Mrs.)	सहयोगी सरकारी एजेंसि (PGAs)
1.	Dr Sonia Sharma	AQCS
2.	Vikas Kanke	FSSAI
3.	Rajesh Verma	ADC-I, CDSCO, JNPT

All participants were welcomed by Shri. Yashodhan Wanage, Pr. Commissioner of Customs.

Dr. Parul Singhal, Joint Commissioner of Customs, NS-I, JNCH, with the permission of the Chair, presented the Agenda points pertaining to Import.

5. BCBAके द्वारा उठाया गया कार्य बिन्दु /AGENDA POINTS RAISED BY BCBA:

कार्यबिन्दु संख्या/POINT NO. 1. Delays in Faceless Assessment by FAGs at JNCH

Members continue to report cases of unduly long turnaround times in Faceless Assessment Groups, with Bills of Entry remaining queried or pending assessment for 48 to 96 hours and beyond, even where complete documentation has been uploaded on e-Sanchit.

It is requested that:

- A defined Turnaround Time for assessment in each FAG be displayed group-wise on the JNCH website and reviewed monthly in the PTFC.
- In case of amendments, members have reported delays being faced by FAG in processing the amendments in a timely manner.
- Strengthening the Grievance Redressal Mechanism i.e. AEM and

enabling the same for Advance Filed BE as well.

- Cases Referred to TSK Section:

1. BE: 8681994 DT: 15.04.2026, Group 2 (Delay in Assessment)
2. BE: 8744404 DT: 18.04.2026, Group 2 (Delay in Assessment)
3. BE: 8446023 DT: 02.04.2026, Group 5N (Delay in Amendment)
4. BE: 8440457 DT: 02.04.2026, Group 5N (Delay in Amendment)
5. BE: 8441204 DT: 02.04.2026, Group 5N (Delay in Amendment)
6. BE: 8440455 DT: 02.04.2026, Group 5N (Delay in Amendment)
7. BE: 8441178 DT: 02.04.2026, Group 5N (Delay in Amendment)

—

प्रतिक्रिया/Response:

1. Bills of Entry under Assessment Process:

- In respect of **Bill of Entry No. 8681994 dated 15.04.2026**, the Bill of Entry was allocated to and finalized by the Faceless Assessment Group (FAG). As the assessment was completed within FAG, the concerned section does not have the requisite system visibility to comment on the factors that influenced the processing timeline.
- Regarding **Bill of Entry No. 8744404 dated 18.04.2026**, the Bill of Entry was initially processed by FAG and subsequently routed to the Port Assessment Group (PAG) due to an improper reply submitted by the importer against the query raised. Thereafter, the Bill of Entry was finally assessed by PAG after due verification of the National Import Database (NIDB) data and examination of the applicability of BIS certification requirements.

2. Amendment-Related Cases (Sr. No. 3 to 7)

The requests for amendment in the above-mentioned Bills of Entry were filed through ICEGATE for modification of the House Bill of Lading (HBL) details. It is further noted that no formal application for amendment was submitted by the importer to the concerned Group. However, due to technical issues in the system, the amendments could not be processed on the same day and were completed subsequently. An e-mail communication from the TSK regarding the matter was received only on 21.04.2026, by which time the amendment process had already been completed.

3. Strengthening of the Grievance Redressal Mechanism (AEM) and Enabling It for Advance Filed Bills of Entry

The Anonymized Escalation Mechanism (AEM) has already been operationalized by DG Systems on ICEGATE in terms of Para 3.7 of Board's Circular No. 14/2021 dated 07.07.2021. The mechanism enables importers and Customs Brokers to raise grievances in cases involving delays in assessment of Bills of Entry.

Further, vide Public Notice No. 58/2022 dated 05.09.2022, the Additional Commissioner of Customs, TSK Section, NS-III, JNCH has been designated as the Nodal Officer for monitoring anonymized escalation tickets under Faceless Assessment.

4. Status of Referred Cases by TSK

In respect of the seven cases referred to the TSK Section under the agenda points, a detailed case-wise summary along with the present status of the respective Bills of Entry has been furnished separately.

Sr No.	B/NE No.	B/E Date	Assessing Group	Issue	Email received on	Email Forwarded on	Present Status
1	8681994	15.04.2026	Group 2	Delay in assessment	17.04.2026	20.04.2026	Assessment completed and OOC is has been granted.
2	8744404	18.04.2026	Group 2	Delay in assessment	21.04.2026	21.04.2026	
3	8446023	02.04.2026	Group 5N	Delay in amendment	20.04.2026	21.04.2026	
4	8440457	02.04.2026	Group 5N	Delay in amendment	20.04.2026	21.04.2026	
5	8441204	02.04.2026	Group 5N	Delay in amendment	20.04.2026	21.04.2026	
6	8440455	02.04.2026	Group 5N	Delay in amendment	20.04.2026	21.04.2026	
7	8441178	02.04.2026	Group 5N	Delay in amendment	20.04.2026	21.04.2026	

(बिन्दु समाप्त/ Point Closed)

कार्यबिन्दु संख्या/POINT NO. 2. Provisional Assessment Without Recorded Reasons — Need for Trade Notice / Standing Order.

Reference is invited to the email dated 20.04.2026 forwarded by our member (importer of color pigment) to BCBA, with respect to Bill of Entry No. 7760265 dated 26.02.2026, in which:

- Goods were assessed provisionally by the FAG without the reason for provisional assessment being recorded on the BoE;
- The sample, although tested by DYCC Lab, JNCH and confirmed as color pigment, was forwarded to DYCC Mumbai which declined to undertake AZO-content testing;
- The matter remained unresolved for nearly a month, causing avoidable detention and license finalization issues for the importer-cum-manufacturer/exporter.

Request: JNCH may consider, through appropriate NAC, issuing an Advisory / Trade Notice / Public Notice / Standing Order requiring the FAG officer to mandatorily seek query, personal hearing prior to mandating the BoE for Provisional Assessment. Further, should also record the specific reason for resorting to provisional assessment (including the lab and parameter to be tested).

प्रतिक्रिया/Response:

With respect to Bill of Entry No. 7760265 dated 26.02.2026, the instructions recorded in the Bill of Entry indicate that, pursuant to the Order dated 14.11.2025 of the Hon'ble Gujarat High Court in Special Civil Application (SCA No. 15215 of 2025), imports of AZO pigments falling under the specified tariff classifications and originating in or exported from China PR may be subject to provisional assessment against execution of a PD Bond, considering the possibility of imposition of Anti-Dumping Duty, pending the final outcome of the matter before the Court.

In the instant case, samples were initially forwarded to DYCC, JNCH for testing. As the presence of AZO pigments could not be conclusively ascertained, the samples were subsequently referred to ICT Matunga (Department of Specialty Chemicals Technology) for further examination.

It has also been noted that there appears to be limited clarity regarding the designated laboratories for conducting such testing. In this context, it has been suggested that consignments of this nature may be considered for provisional assessment on the basis of available supporting documents such as previous test reports, Certificate of Analysis (CoA), and Material Safety Data Sheet (MSDS) from the manufacturer of the goods subject to compliance with the applicable procedures, legal provisions, and

assessment requirements.

(बिन्दुसमाप्त/ Point Closed)

कार्यबिन्दुसंख्या/POINT NO. 3 Pendency in Sample Testing — Identification of Designated Laboratories for Specific Tests

The above case also highlights the absence of clarity on which CRCL / accredited laboratory will undertake AZO-pigment testing in the Western region. It is requested that JNCH publish a consolidated Annexure listing:

- Test parameter / commodity (e.g., AZO pigment, melamine, lead content, GSM, denier, etc.);
- Designated CRCL / accredited laboratory for that test;
- Indicative TAT for issue of test report
- Procedure for re-drawal / retesting where any laboratory declines.

प्रतिक्रिया/Response

The issue relating to pendency in sample testing and identification of laboratories for specialized parameters such as AZO pigment, melamine, lead content, GSM, denier, etc., is presently addressed through the existing mechanism of laboratory mapping based on the nature of the commodity, applicable regulations, and the testing capability available with the Central Revenues Control Laboratory (CRCL), NABL-accredited laboratories, and other recognized partner government agencies. Wherever testing is required, a formal test memo is generated in the system, and samples are drawn by the shed staff in accordance with the prescribed guidelines and forwarded through the existing SOP/procedure to the appropriate mapped laboratory.

It is further submitted that import testing requirements depend upon the nature of the goods and the applicable regulatory framework. In certain cases, delays may arise where laboratories decline testing due to non-availability of accreditation, testing facilities, or technical capability for the concerned parameter.

To address such situations, including cases where a laboratory expresses inability to undertake a particular test, declines acceptance of a sample, or where re-testing/re-drawal is warranted, the concerned Appraising Group, upon receipt of formal communication from the laboratory, initiates the process for referral/allocation to an alternate suitable laboratory after obtaining approval from the competent authority, so as to ensure continuity and timely completion of the assessment process.

(बिन्दुसमाप्त/ Point Closed)

कार्यबिन्दु संख्या/POINT NO. 4). Non-Receipt of Out-of-Charge Copy of Bill of Entry by Members After Clearance.

Reference is invited to the BCBA President's letter forwarded on 08.04.2026 to DG Systems on the systemic issue of customs brokers not receiving the Out-of-Charge (OOC) copy of the Bill of Entry post clearance through ICEGATE.

प्रतिक्रिया/Response:

Further to the telephonic conversation held with Assistant Director, ICEGATE, DG Systems it is informed that the Out-of-Charge (OOC) copy in respect of every Bill of Entry is being shared with Custodians and other stakeholders through the ICEGATE system.

In any specific case where the same is not received, the matter may initially be taken up directly with ICEGATE. In the event of non-resolution, the issue, along with complete particulars such as Bill of Entry details, ticket/reference number, and other relevant information, may be brought to the notice of Customs for expeditious resolution.

(बिन्दुसमाप्त/ Point Closed)

कार्यबिन्दु संख्या/POINT NO. 5). Delays in Clearance of Perishable Cargo — SWIFT / PGA Workflow at JNCH

Reference is invited to the BCBA representation forwarded on 23.04.2026 to the Pr. Commissioner, NS-I, regarding systemic delays in the release of perishable cargo at JNCH on account of friction in the SWIFT / PGA workflow (FSSAI, AQCS) and re-routing at the Out-of-Charge stage. Perishable consignments require time-bound release and even minor procedural delays translate into commercial loss and food-safety concerns.

Request: JNCH may convene a focused review with FSSAI, AQCS and the concerned FAGs / shed officers, and publish a perishable-cargo SOP with:

- A defined consolidated TAT (FAG + PGA + shed + OOC) for perishable consignments;
- A 24x7 single-point grievance escalation for shipments at risk of spoilage;
- Visibility on ICEGATE of stage-wise pendency for perishable BoEs.

-

प्रतिक्रिया/Response:

Representatives of various Participating Government Agencies

(PGAs), including AQCS and CDSCO, highlighted certain operational challenges being experienced in the SWIFT 2.0 system. These included instances of incomplete referral of Bills of Entry to the concerned agencies, absence of system functionalities for release of consignments on the basis of uploaded Letters of Guarantee, and limitations in placing consignments on hold in cases involving pending or unavailable licenses.

It was also noted that the timing of document uploads by importers, particularly Letters of Guarantee, may have an impact on the processing timeline in certain cases. Further, concerns were raised regarding the adequacy of existing system functionalities to support PGA-specific regulatory workflows.

During the discussions, it was proposed that joint consultations be held with system developers and the concerned PGAs to examine the issues and explore suitable system enhancements. The participants broadly acknowledged the need for improvements in the SWIFT 2.0 platform to facilitate smoother coordination and processing by PGAs.

(Action: EDI, Concerned PGAs)

कार्यबिन्दु संख्या/POINT NO. 6). Delay in Country-of-Origin (COO) Verification and Return of Bank Guarantees:

Reference is invited to the email dated 20.04.2026 from M/s Parle Products Pvt. Ltd. (Anita Poojari, Mumbai) addressed to the Commissioner of Customs, NS-1, NS-2 and NS-3 and to the TSK Section, JNCH, titled "Request for Status Update on COO Verification, Finalization of Bill of Entry, and Return of Bank Guarantee". The grievance is summarized below:

- Bills of Entry filed for the period January 2024 to April 2024 against which Country-of-Origin (COO) certificates were marked for verification and Bank Guarantees were submitted by the importer towards differential duty;
- The said BoEs are pending finalization for over fifteen (15) months as on date, with no status update being made available from the TSK Section;

The list of seven (7) Bills of Entry and Bank Guarantees pending closure, with a cumulative BG value of INR 1,53,19,311, is reproduced below for reference:

Sr.	Bank Guarantee / LC No.	BG Amount (INR)	BE No.	BE Date
-----	-------------------------	-----------------	--------	---------

1	0652IGP241039100	19,84,170.00	9548464	05.01.2024
2	0652IGP241043694	22,24,281.00	9806550	24.01.2024
3	0652IGP241043595	22,20,320.00	2006939	06.02.2024
4	0652IGF241046320	22,19,000.00	2447683	06.03.2024
5	0652IGF241046321	22,18,995.00	2462254	07.03.2024
6	0652IGF241047735	22,21,650.00	2785681	28.03.2024
7	0652IGF241050770	22,30,895.00	2374068	12.04.2024
	TOTAL	1,53,19,311.00		

It is reliably understood that this is not an isolated case and that several members face identical issues across COO-verified BoEs filed in 2023–2024, where Bank Guarantees continue to remain in the custody of Customs well beyond reasonable timelines, blocking working capital for the importer-fraternity.

Request:

- JNCH may issue a Standing Order / Trade Notice prescribing a defined Turnaround Time for completion of COO verification through DIC and consequential finalization of provisional assessments, with monthly progress to be reviewed in the PTFC;
- A web-based status tracker on ICEGATE / JNCH website to be considered, where importers / CBs can ascertain the present stage of the COO verification (lodged with DIC, sent to issuing authority, response received, final order issued);
- A monthly pendency report (importer-wise) of all COO-verification cases pending beyond ninety days to be circulated to BCBA so that the trade can co-ordinate with the foreign shipper for prompt response;
- An expedited update of the seven (7) Parle Products BGs listed above, given the duration of pendency and the commercial value involved.

प्रतिक्रिया/Response:

The seven Bills of Entry referred to in the agenda were provisionally assessed pending verification of Certificates of Origin (COOs) under CAROTAR, 2020, against which Bank Guarantees were furnished by the importer.

As all the Bills of Entry pertain to the same importer, supplier, goods and claim of preferential tariff treatment, one representative COO relating to Bill of Entry No. 2006939 dated 06.02.2024 was referred for verification through the FTA Cell, JNCH. The verification report from the concerned authority is still awaited despite follow-up communications and reminders. Since the outcome of the verification is expected to have a bearing on all the related Bills of Entry, finalization of the provisional assessments and consequential release of the Bank Guarantees would be subject to receipt

of the verification report and completion of the prescribed process.

It is further informed that the FTA Cell, established vide Public Notice No. 70/2024 dated 21.08.2024, functions as the nodal point for forwarding verification requests to the Directorate of International Customs (DIC), New Delhi and for monitoring their progress. The verification process involves multiple authorities, including foreign issuing authorities, and the timelines for completion may therefore depend on responses received from such agencies.

During the discussions, it was noted that delays in COO verification are being experienced in certain cases due to pending responses from verification authorities. The need for continued coordination with DIC, timely rectification of document deficiencies, and submission of complete verification proposals was emphasized to facilitate expeditious disposal of pending cases. Importers may also coordinate with their suppliers, wherever feasible, to assist in obtaining timely responses from the issuing authorities.

The suggestion regarding fixation of a defined Turnaround Time for COO verification was noted. However, the verification process involves multiple agencies beyond the jurisdiction of the PTFC.

(Action: TSK)

II. Agenda Points from Sylvester & Co.:

We have been given to understand that out of charge of Bill of Entries, of which goods registration has been done prior to a Holiday does not happen on Custom Holidays.

We have cases where Custom duty was paid on 13.04.2026, Goods registration done. On 14.04.2026 was declared a Holiday, OOC was not done. On 15.4.2026 the entire ICEGATE was out of commission. On 16.04.2026 due to massive backlog OOC has just been granted for one document and query raised asking catalogue, which was loaded at the time of Filing B/E.

Clarification is sought, if OOC are issued on 24 hours basis should not and should there be as held. We would like to seek clarification on the actual position, as on a holiday and second Saturday are OOC generated.

प्रतिक्रिया/Response:

It is submitted that the matter relating to generation of Out of Charge (OOC) is not applicable to cases where OOC is granted automatically by the system. In respect of Bills of Entry handled through

Import Docks, OOC is presently granted manually by the Dock Officers during working days.

(बिन्दुसमाप्त/ **Point Closed**)

III. Agenda Points from CSLA:

System-based sharing of scanning reports for Import ICD containers with shipping lines & respective port terminals: - At present, the scanning of Import ICD containers is undertaken by the shipping lines. The scanning status is stamped on physical EIR/SMTP documents which are collected by the shipping line representatives from the transporters. These documents are then scanned and submitted to port terminals to enable the release of containers for further railing. This manual process results in delays in the release of Import ICD containers which subsequently impacts timely railing.

We request JNCH to establish a mechanism for electronic sharing of scanning status instead of the current manual process. This will support early evacuation of Import ICD containers.

प्रतिक्रिया/Response:

The matter will be discussed with the concerned stakeholders, including shipping lines and port terminals, and based on the outcome of the discussion, an appropriate decision/action will be taken.

(**Action: CSD**)

IV. Agenda Point from Western India Shippers' Association

-
- Some notifications impose *ad valorem* CVD on CIF value levied under Section 9 of The Customs Tariff Act 1975. These duties are in the nature of Anti-dumping / safeguard for protection of domestic industry from injury.
- Firstly, trade is unable to file BE through ICEGATE levying such CVD. Such file gets rejected with a message "invalid notification". Thus, all users are forced to file BE without levying these notifications and then forced to re-call and re-assess with relevant CVD notification.
- Such CVD is not reflected in pdf copy of the BE but added in total duty payable, only text copy shows it (which has been stopped now). Two sample files – pdf as well as text - of same BE attached herewith.
- In these cases, the system is calculating CVD on landed cost (CIF value plus BCD) even when the same is specifically imposed on CIF value.
- A sample pdf and text file of such assessed BE along with relevant

CVD notification are attached herewith for your ready reference.

- The trade is forced to pay higher duty and apply for refund of excess paid duty. The reality, however, is that none of the affected importers have ever filed for refund of such excess duty paid.
- A sample notification 5/2004 is also attached herewith for your ready reference.

प्रतिक्रिया/Response:

The issue raised pertains to instances where the ICES system is reportedly computing additional duties (CVD) on a value basis including Basic Customs Duty, whereas as per applicable notifications the levy is intended on CIF value. Concerns have also been expressed regarding inconsistencies in system behavior, including incorrect mapping of notifications under duty categories, leading to variations in duty computation and related operational difficulties.

It has been represented that due to such system constraints, importers are experiencing procedural challenges, including the need for re-processing of Bills of Entry and consequent delays. Suggestions have been made to consider enabling manual payment options or permitting provisional assessment in appropriate cases until system corrections are implemented.

During the discussions, it was noted that the matter has been previously taken up with the system authorities; however, a comprehensive resolution is still awaited. It was further observed that the issue appears to stem from system-level configuration, and may require corrective action at the level of DG Systems/JS (Customs), including re-examination of notification mapping and duty calculation logic in ICES.

It was also indicated that, pending resolution, available procedural options such as provisional assessment or voluntary payment mechanisms may be explored within the existing legal framework to facilitate clearance.

The matter was proposed to be taken up further with the concerned higher authorities and system developers for necessary rectification, in order to ensure uniformity in duty computation and to avoid avoidable transactional and financial inconvenience to trade.

(Action: EDI)

8. The meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the Chair.
9. This issues with the approval of the Pr. Commissioner of Customs, NS-I.

10. Any amendments to these minutes be provided within the next five working days.

11. Minutes are placed on the JNCH website and also sent through emails to the members.

Digitally signed by
Atul Choudhary
Date: 15-06-2026
12:27:17

(Atul Choudhary)

उपायुक्त, सीमा शुल्क / Deputy Commissioner of Customs,
मूल्यनिरूपणमुख्य(आयात)/Appraising Main (I),
जे.एन.सी.एच., न्हावा शेवा / JNCH, Nhava Sheva.

सेवामें /To,

पी.टी.एफ.सी. केसभी सदस्योंकोई-मेलके माध्यमसे /All the Members of PTFC (through email)

प्रतिलिपि /Copy to : (ई-मेलके माध्यमसे)

1. मुख्य आयुक्त, सीमा शुल्क, मुंबई अंचल-II / Chief Commissioner of Customs, Mumbai Zone-II;
2. प्रधान अपर महानिदेशक, करदाता सेवा महानिदेशालय, मुंबई / The Principal Addl. Director General, Directorate General of Tax Payers Services, Mumbai Zonal Unit, room No 138/139, New Custom House, Mumbai-400001 (mzu-dgtps@gov.in);
3. लोकपाल, अप्रत्यक्षकर, मुंबई / The Ombudsman, Indirect Taxes, Mumbai;
4. प्रधान सीमा शुल्क आयुक्त / सीमा शुल्क आयुक्त, मुंबई अंचल-II / Pr. Commissioner / Commissioner of Customs, Mumbai Zone-II;
5. सभी अपर / संयुक्त आयुक्त, जे.एन.सी.एच., न्हावा शेवा | All ADCs/JCs JNCH, Nhava Sheva;
6. सभी उप / सहा. आयुक्त, जे.एन.सी.एच., न्हावा शेवा | All DCs/ACs JNCH, Nhava Sheva;
7. सहा / उप आयुक्त, ईडीआई,
जे.एन.सी.एच., न्हावा शेवा को अविलंब वैबसाइट में अपलोड करने के लिए | AC/DC, EDI, JNCH, Nhava Sheva, for uploading in JNCH website;
8. कार्यालय प्रति / Office Copy.